

International Journal of Theoretical & Applied Sciences,

9(1): 01-06(2017)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1718 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3247

Improvement of Engineering Properties of clayey soil using shredded rubber tyre

Jagtar Singh* and Prof. Vinod Kumar Sonthwal**

*P.G. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India, ** Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, NITTTR, Chandigarh, India

> (Corresponding author: Jagtar Singh) (Received 22 October, 2016 accepted 25 December, 2016) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Soil properties play very important role in construction. some time the properties of soil are not favourable for construction and we used some method to improve properties of soil called soil stabilization. In this paper we used shredded rubber tyre to improve properties of soil. Shredded rubber tyres with different sizes (10mm, 20mm, 30mm) in width and (20mm,40mm,60mm) in length are used for experimental work. the percentage used is (5%, 10%, 15%) for the experimental work. Use of shredded rubber tyres in geotechnical engineering for enhancing the soil properties, has received great attention in the recent times. This paper presents the effect on the behavior of pavement subgrade when pavement subgrade soil is stabilized with shredded rubber tyre. It is found from the investigation that the optimum value of shredded rubber tyre is 5%.and size is 10mm \times 20mm. It improve the value of CBR by 28.66% than in comparison of virgin soil.

Keywords: soil stabiliztion, CBR, shredded rubber tyre,

I. INTRODUCTION

Soil properties is very important for every construction work, because we can change the material if it hasn't good quality, but it is very difficult to replace the soil If the property of soil is not too good, because the transportation of soil and change of all existing soil is very difficult work. for such condition we use some admixture and material which improve some important property of soil. Method of using waste material and admixture to improve the property of soil is called soil stabilization. This paper aims at studying the appropriateness of shredded rubber tyres for its use in pavement engineering, i.e. to stabilize the subgrade of the pavements. It discusses about CBR value of soiltyre mixture and the results are presented.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The soil used in this study is collected from Banur near Chandigarh. Classification of soil as per BIS is CL which is clay with low compressibility. Compaction characteristics of virgin soil are given below:

Table 1: MDD and OMC of virgin soil.

| Soil type | OMC% | MDD(g/cc) |
|-------------|------|-----------|
| Virgin soil | 12.7 | 1.95 |

Shredded rubber tyre was cut into different sizes (10mm, 20mm, 30mm) in width and (20mm,40mm,60mm) in length. The percentage used for laboratory experiment was 5%, 10%, 15%.

III. COMPACTION CHARACTERISTICS

Modified proctor test was used to find the compaction characteristics *i.e* optimum moisture content and maximum dry density. optimum moisture content and maximum dry density was found of soil mixed with shredded tyre of percentage 5%, 10%, 15%. And size (10mm, 20mm, 30mm) in width and (20mm, 40mm, 60mm) in length and also optimum moisture content and maximum dry density was found by modified proctor test of virgin soil.

Table 2: MDD and OMC of virgin soil.

| Soil type | OMC% | MDD(g/cc) |
|-------------|------|-----------|
| Virgin soil | 12.7 | 1.95 |

Singh and Sonthwal

Table 3: OMC and MDD Table for Size 10mm × 20mm.

| S.NO | PERCENTAGE | MDD | OMC |
|------|------------|------|------|
| 1 | 5% | 1.81 | 13.2 |
| 2 | 10% | 1.77 | 14 |
| 3 | 15% | 1.70 | 16 |

Table 4: OMC and MDD Table for Size 20mm × 40mm.

| S.NO | PERCENTAGE | MDD | OMC |
|------|------------|------|------|
| 1 | 5% | 1.80 | 13 |
| 2 | 10% | 1.75 | 15.2 |
| 3 | 15% | 1.69 | 16.2 |

Table 5: OMC and MDD table for size 30mm × 60mm.

| S.NO | PERCENTAGE | MDD | OMC |
|------|------------|------|------|
| 1 | 5% | 1.77 | 13.3 |
| 2 | 10% | 1.73 | 14.6 |
| 3 | 15% | 1.71 | 16.1 |

| Percentageofshredded rubber tyre= 0%S.No.Virgin Soil | | Percentage shredded r 5% | of ubber tyre | Percentage shredded r 5% | of ubber tyre | Percentage shredded r 5% | of ubber tyre | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % |
| 1 | | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 10mm × 20mm | | | | | |
| 1. | | | 1.81 | 13.2 | 1.77 | 14 | 1.70 | 16 |
| C | 1.05 1.2.7 | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 20mm × 40mm | | | | | |
| ۷. | 1.95 | 12.7 | 1.80 | 13 | 1.75 | 15.2 | 1.69 | 16.2 |
| 3. | | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 30mm × 60mm | | | | | |
| | | | 1.77 | 13.3 | 1.73 | 14.6 | 1.71 | 16.1 |

Table 6: Comparison of the OMC and MDD of virgin soil with reinforced soil.

Table 7: Comparison of the OMC and MDD of virgin soil with reinforced soil with percentage variation.

| S.No. | Percentageofshreddedrubber= 0%S.No.Virgin Soil | | Percentage shredded r 5% | of ubber tyre | Percentage shredded r 5% | of rubber tyre | Percentage shredded ru 5% | of 1bber tyre |
|-------|--|-------------|--|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % | MDD d(max) g/cc | OMC, w % |
| | | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 10mm × 20mm | | | | | |
| 1. | | | (-) 7.17% | 13.2 | (-) 9.23% | 14 | (-) 12.5% | 16 |
| | | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 20mm × 40mm | | | | | |
| 2. | 1.95 | 12.7 | (-) 7.69% | 13 | (-) 10.2% | 15.2 | (-) 13.3% | 16.2 |
| 3. | | | Size of shredded rubber tyre 30mm × 60mm | | | | | |
| | | | (-) 9.23% | 13.3 | (-) 1128% | 14.6 | (-) 12.3% | 16.1 |

And the optimum moisture content and maximum dry density of soil when mixed with shredded tyre are shown in table. It can be seen from the above tables that the MDD of soil-tyre mixtures reduces significantly with an increase in the percentage of shredded rubber tyre. This is due to the light weight nature of shredded rubber tyre. On the other hand the value of optimum moisture content is increased with increase in percentage of shredded tyre. This is due to the fact that shredded tyre has some value of water absorption.

IV. CBR VALUE OF THE SOIL

CBR tests were conducted on soil and soil-shredded rubber tyre mixtures to determine the CBR value from which the suitability of soil stabilized with shredded tyres can be assessed. In addition to that the thickness of the pavement can also be determined from the CBR value. The tests were conducted a corresponding OMC and MDD of the soil, soil-tyre mixtures. The soil is mixed with tyre shreds of 5%, 10% and 15% by weight of soil. The CBR values of the soil and soil-tyre mixtures are summarized in the respective tables. the variation of CBR value with percentage variation of shredded tyre is shown in Fig.1, Fig. 2, and Fig. 3.

CBR value of virgin soil =1.50

Table 8: CBR Value of soil tyre mixture (10mm ×
20mm).

| S. no | Percentage | Soaked CBR |
|-------|------------|------------|
| | | value |
| 1 | 5% | 1.93 |
| 2 | 10% | 1.83 |
| 3 | 15% | 1.40 |



Fig. 1. Soaked CBR Value of Soil-Tyre Mixture (10mm×20mm).

| Table 9: CBR Value of soil tyre mixture (20mm × | < 40mm). | • |
|---|----------|---|
|---|----------|---|

| S. no | Percentage | Soaked CBR value |
|-------|------------|------------------|
| 1 | 5% | 1.89 |
| 2 | 10% | 1.81 |
| 3 | 15% | 1.35 |



Fig. 2. Soaked CBR Value of Soil-Tyre Mixture ($20mm \times 40mm$).

| Table 10: CBR Value of soil tyre mixture (30mm × 60mm). | | | | |
|---|------------|------------------|--|--|
| s.no | Percentage | Soaked CBR value | | |
| 1 | 5% | 1.86 | | |
| 2 | 10% | 1.79 | | |
| 3 | 15% | 1 37 | | |



Fig. 3. Soaked CBR Value of Soil-Tyre Mixture ($30mm \times 60mm$).

| Table 11: | Comparison | of California | Bearing Ratio | of virgin soil | with reinforced soil. |
|-----------|------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | |

| | | CBR value of | Percentage of | Size of shredded rubber tyre | | |
|---|-------|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|-------------|
| | S.No. | Virgin Soil | shredded | $10\text{mm} \times 20\text{mm}$ | 20mm $	imes 40$ mm | 30mm × 60mm |
| | | (kg/cm^2) | rubber tyre | CBR of reinforced soil, (kg/cm ²) | | |
| ſ | 1. | 1.5 | 5% | 1.93 | 1.89 | 1.86 |
| ſ | 2. | | 10% | 1.83 | 1.81 | 1.79 |
| ſ | 3. | | 15% | 1.40 | 1.35 | 1.37 |

Singh and Sonthwal

| | CBR value of Virgin Soil (kg/cm ²) | Percentage of shredded rubber tyre | Size of shredded rubber tyre | | |
|--------|--|--|---|-------------|-------------|
| S. No. | | | 10mm × 20mm | 20mm × 40mm | 30mm × 60mm |
| | | | CBR of reinforced soil, (kg/cm ²) | | |
| 1. | 1.5 | 5% | (+)28.66% | (+) 26% | (+) 24% |
| 2. | | 10% | (+) 22% | (+) 20.66% | (+) 19.33% |
| 3. | | 15% | (-) 6.66% | (-) 10% | (-) 8.66% |

 Table 12: Comparison of California Bearing Ratio of virgin soil with reinforced soil with percentage variation.

It is inferred from Tables and Fig. 1, Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 that the 5% of size 10 mm \times 20 mm of tyre content is the specific value.CBR value at 5% shredded tyre with size 10mm \times 20mm is 1.93 and the CBR value of virgin soil is 1.50, and improvement in CBR value from the experimental study was 28.66% than from virgin soil. An improvement in CBR value of 28.66% can considerably trim down the overall thickness of the pavement and hence the total cost involved in the project.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the experiments carried out on soil and soiltyre mixtures, the following observations and conclusions are drawn:

i. The optimum moisture content is found to increase with increase in percentage of shredded tyre, because shredded tyres have some water absorption value.

ii. The maximum dry density of soil decrease with increase in percentage of soil. This is due to the light weight nature of tyre waste.

iii. When soil mixed with 5% of shredded tyre with size (10mm \times 20mm) its CBR value increase to 28.66% than from virgin soil.

iv. The percentage increase in CBR value of stabilized soil is 28.66% in soaked condition an increase in CBR value can considerably decrease the thickness of pavement and also reduce the cost of the project.

REFERENCES

[1]. A. Bernal, R. Salgado, R.H. Swan Jr., C.W. Lovell, (1997). "Interaction between tyre shreds, Rubber-sand and geosynthetics", *Geosynthetics internationals*, **4**(6), 623-643.

[2]. Ajay, K., and Jawaid, S.M. (2013). "Soil Modification Using Shredded Scrap Tires". *International Journal of Biological Sciences & Technological Research (IJBSTR) Research* Papers, Vol. **1**, pp 10-13.

[3]. Amin, E.R. (2012). "A Review on the Soil Stabilization Using Low-Cost Methods". *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, pp 2193-2196.

[4]. Ayothiraman, R., and Abilash, M. (2011). "Improvement of subgrade soil with shredded waste tyre chips". *Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference Kochi, Paper no H –033*, pp

365-368.

[5]. Baleshwar Singh and Valliapan Vinot, (2011). "Influence of waste tyre chips on strength characteristics of soils", *Journal of civil engineering and architecture*, **5**(9), 119-127.

[6]. Ghatge Sandeep Hambirao., and Rakaraddi, P.G. (2014) "Soil Stabilization Using Waste

Shredded Rubber Tire Chips". *Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (JMCE)*, Vol. **11**, pp 20-27.

[7]. H.K. Kim and J.C. Santamarina, (2008). "Sand-rubber mixtures (large rubber chips)", *Can. Geotech. J.*, **45**, 1457–1466.

[8]. Humphrey, D. N., and Nickels, W. L. (1997). "Effect of tire chips as lightweight fills on pavement performance". *Proc. 14th Int. Conf. On Soil Mech. and Found. Engg*, **3**, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp.1617-1620.

[9]. Koteswara Rao, D., (2011). "Stabilization of Expansive Soil with Rice Husk Ash, Lime and gypsum", *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST)* ISSN: 0975-5462 Vol. **3**, No. 11.

[10]. Krishna R. Reddy., and Arvind Marella. (2001). "Properties of different size scrap tire shred: Implications on using as drainage material in land fill cover systems". The Seventeenth International Conference on Solid Waste Technology and Management, Philadelphia, USA, pp 1-19.

[11]. Mandeep Singh., and Anupam Mittal. (2014). "A Review On the Soil Stabilization with Waste Materials". International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA) ISSN: 2248-9622 National Conference on Advances in Engineering and Technology, pp 11-16.

[12]. Manoj, K.V., and Ramesh, H.N., (2012). "Strength and Performance of Black Cotton Soil Treated with Calcium Chloride". *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering(IOSRJMCE)* ISSN: 2278-1684 Volume **2**, pp 21-25.

[13]. Prasad, D.S.V., Prasad Raju, G.V.R. and Anjan, M Kumar. (2009). "Utilization of Industrial Waste in Flexible Pavement Construction". *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. **13**, pp 1-1.

[14]. Rajwinder Singh Bansal, Sanjeev Naval, (2013). "Application of Waste Tyre Rubber in Granular Soils", International journal of engineering research & technology, 2(3), 1-7.

[15]. Sanjeev Naval, Arvind Kumar, S.K. Bansal, (2012). "Pressure settlement characteristics for Strip footing resting on sand reinforced with waste tyre fibers", *EJGE*, **17**, bund. Y., 3771-3795.

[16]. S.N. Moghaddas Tafreshi, A.H. Norouzi, (2012). "Bearing capacity of a square model footing on sand reinforced with shredded tyre – an experimental investigation", *Construction and building materials*, **35**, 547–556.

[17]. Umar Jan, Vinod K. Sonthwal, Ajay Kumar Duggal, Er. Jasvir S. Rattan, Mohd Irfan (2015). "Soil Stabilization Using Shredded Rubber Tyre", pp 341-344.

[18]. Zena Hadi Alqaissi, (2012). "Behavior of strip footings resting on sand mixed with tyre chips", *Journal of engineering and development*, **16**(4), 2012, 142-156.